

No. ID/HSR/24/82/43481.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sube Singh and the management of The Executive Engineer, Canal Lining Mechanical Divn. No. 14, Rohtak (II) S. D. O. Canal Lining, Mech. Sub-Divn. II, Hansi, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sube Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/54/82/43488.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Kumar and the management of Haryana Roadways, Sirsa, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/Amb/106/82/43494.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Banta Ram and the management of the M/s. The Ambala Central Co-operative Bank Ltd., Ambala City, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Banta Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/Amb/74/82/43500.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Raj and the management of the (i) Deputy Director, Horticulture, Haryana, Karnal, (ii) Agriculture Development Officer, Government Garden and Nursery, Ratgal, District Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?